

Peer-review process

In order to guarantee scholarly excellence of all publications, all texts submitted to *The Polish Journal of Aesthetics* have to undergo double-blind peer-review. Our reviewers are either in regular collaboration with *The Polish Journal of Aesthetics* as members of the Advisory Board or specialists who occasionally collaborate with The Polish Journal of Aesthetics. In the peer-review process, we observe the following anonymity rules:

(1) Articles submitted to our journal must be sent to two reviewers without the name of the author or any other identifying information (“double-blind review”) included. Authors should submit a blinded copy of their work, especially if they deem it necessary for any self-reference. A different copy without identifying information concealed should be submitted as the final draft of their article, after their text has been accepted for publication.

(2) We also observe the anonymity rule with regard to revealing the name of the reviewer to the author unless the reviewer explicitly expresses their request to have their name revealed to the author (this means that the name of the reviewer will not be deleted from the copy of the review form which is sent to the author). We assume, however, that the latter may occur by way of exception – acceptable if, e.g., the Author and the reviewer are about to engage in a philosophically interesting exchange of comments – rather than as a rule.

Regardless to the decisions of the reviewers and the Editorial Board, the Author will receive a copy of the review which contains the general assessment of the submitted text and which lists its shortcomings. Should an article be tentatively accepted, the review will indicate what requires corrections or modifications in the article.

We assume that few texts offered for publication will be accepted in their original form. In the pursuit of excellence and Best Practice, for enhancing the quality of articles published in our journal, we ask Authors, whose texts have been tentatively accepted, to modify their texts in accordance with the reviewers’ suggestions. Occasionally, if a reviewer deems it advisable or indispensable, the modified version of an article will have to undergo peer-review once again.